## Contents

Cont	sents 1
1.	Course
2.	General information
3.	Professors
4.	Introduction to the course
5.	Goals
6.	Competences
	Topics
8.	Workplan
	8.1 Methodology
	8.2 Theory Sessions
	8.3 Practical Sessions
9.	Planning
	0. Evaluation System
11	Basic Bibliography

# University de Piura (UDEP) Sillabus 2022-I

#### 1. COURSE

CS342. Compilers (Mandatory)

#### 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1 Credits

 

 2.1 Credits
 . 4

 2.2 Theory Hours
 : 2 (Weekly)

 2.3 Practice Hours
 : 2 (Weekly)

 **2.4 Duration of the period** : 16 weeks 

#### 3. PROFESSORS

Meetings after coordination with the professor

## 4. INTRODUCTION TO THE COURSE

That the student knows and understands the concepts and fundamental principles of the theory of compilation to realize the construction of a compiler

### 5. GOALS

- Know the basic techniques used during the process of intermediate generation, optimization and code generation.
- Learning to implement small compilers.

## 6. COMPETENCES

Nooutcomes

Nospecificoutcomes

## 7. TOPICS

Unit 1: Program Representation (5)					
Competences Expected: a,b					
Topics	Learning Outcomes				
<ul> <li>Programs that take (other) programs as input such as interpreters, compilers, type-checkers, documentation generators</li> <li>Abstract syntax trees; contrast with concrete syntax</li> <li>Data structures to represent code for execution, translation, or transmission</li> <li>Just-in-time compilation and dynamic recompilation</li> <li>Other common features of virtual machines, such as class loading, threads, and security.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Explain how programs that process other programs treat the other programs as their input data [Familiarity]</li> <li>Describe an abstract syntax tree for a small language [Familiarity]</li> <li>Describe the benefits of having program representations other than strings of source code [Familiarity]</li> <li>Write a program to process some representation of code for some purpose, such as an interpreter, an expression optimizer, or a documentation generator [Familiarity]</li> <li>Explain the use of metadata in run-time representations of objects and activation records, such as class pointers, array lengths, return addresses, and frame pointers [Familiarity]</li> <li>Discuss advantages, disadvantages, and difficulties of just-in-time and dynamic recompilation [Familiarity]</li> <li>Identify the services provided by modern language run-time systems [Familiarity]</li> </ul>				
Readings: [Lou04b]					

#### Unit 2: Language Translation and Execution (10) Competences Expected: a,b,j Topics **Learning Outcomes** • Interpretation vs. compilation to native code vs. • Distinguish a language definition (what constructs compilation to portable intermediate representation mean) from a particular language implementation (compiler vs interpreter, run-time representation of • Language translation pipeline: parsing, optional data objects, etc) [Assessment] type-checking, translation, linking, execution • Distinguish syntax and parsing from semantics and - Execution as native code or within a virtual maevaluation [Assessment] chine • Sketch a low-level run-time representation of core - Alternatives like dynamic loading and dynamic language constructs, such as objects or closures [As-(or "just-in-time") code generation sessment] • Run-time representation of core language constructs • Explain how programming language implementasuch as objects (method tables) and first-class functions typically organize memory into global data, tions (closures) text, heap, and stack sections and how features such • Run-time layout of memory: call-stack, heap, static as recursion and memory management map to this data memory model [Assessment] - Implementing loops, recursion, and tail calls • Identify and fix memory leaks and dangling-pointer dereferences [Assessment] • Memory management • Discuss the benefits and limitations of garbage col-- Manual memory management: allocating, delection, including the notion of reachability [Assessallocating, and reusing heap memory ment] - Automated memory management: garbage collection as an automated technique using the notion of reachability

Competences Expected: a,b,j					
Topics	Learning Outcomes				
<ul> <li>Scanning (lexical analysis) using regular expressions</li> <li>Parsing strategies including top-down (e.g., recursive descent, Earley parsing, or LL) and bottom-up (e.g., backtracking or LR) techniques; role of context-free grammars</li> <li>Generating scanners and parsers from declarative specifications</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use formal grammars to specify the syntax of languages [Assessment]</li> <li>Use declarative tools to generate parsers and scanners [Assessment]</li> <li>Identify key issues in syntax definitions: ambiguity associativity, precedence [Assessment]</li> </ul>				

**Readings**: [Aho+11], [Lou04a], [App02], [TS98]

Outcomes
Outcomes
ment context-sensitive, source-level static analuch as type-checkers or resolving identifiers to fy their binding occurrences [Assessment] libe semantic analyses using an attribute gram-Assessment]

Unit 5: Code Generation (20)				
Competences Expected: a,b,j				
Topics	Learning Outcomes			
<ul> <li>Procedure calls and method dispatching</li> <li>Separate compilation; linking</li> <li>Instruction selection</li> <li>Instruction scheduling</li> <li>Register allocation</li> <li>Peephole optimization</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identify all essential steps for automatically converting source code into assembly or other low-level languages [Assessment]</li> <li>Generate the low-level code for calling functions/methods in modern languages [Assessment]</li> <li>Discuss why separate compilation requires uniform calling conventions [Assessment]</li> <li>Discuss why separate compilation limits optimization because of unknown effects of calls [Assessment]</li> <li>Discuss opportunities for optimization introduced by naive translation and approaches for achieving optimization, such as instruction selection, instruction scheduling, register allocation, and peephole optimization [Assessment]</li> </ul>			
<b>Readings</b> : [Aho+11], [Lou04a], [App02], [TS98]				

## 8. WORKPLAN

## 8.1 Methodology

Individual and team participation is encouraged to present their ideas, motivating them with additional points in the different stages of the course evaluation.

## 8.2 Theory Sessions

The theory sessions are held in master classes with activities including active learning and roleplay to allow students to internalize the concepts.

## 8.3 Practical Sessions

The practical sessions are held in class where a series of exercises and/or practical concepts are developed through problem solving, problem solving, specific exercises and/or in application contexts.

## 9. PLANNING

DA	TE	TIME	SESSION TYPE	PROFESSOR
See	at EDU	See at EDU	See at EDU	See at EDU

## 10. EVALUATION SYSTEM

\*\*\*\*\*\* EVALUATION MISSING \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## 11. BASIC BIBLIOGRAPHY

[Aho+11] Alfred Aho et al. Compilers Principles Techniques And Tools. 2nd. ISBN:10-970-26-1133-4. Pearson, 2011.

[App02] A. W. Appel. Modern compiler implementation in Java. 2.a edición. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[Lou04a] Kenneth C. Louden. Compiler Construction: Principles and Practice. Thomson, 2004.

[Lou04b] Kenneth C. Louden. Lenguajes de Programacion. Thomson, 2004.

[TS98] Bernard Teufel and Stephanie Schmidt. Fundamentos de Compiladores. Addison Wesley Iberoamericana, 1998.